

---

Subject: Metaanalyse zur Qualität von 34 klinischen Studien (Finasterid)

Posted by [Pandemonium](#) on Mon, 13 Apr 2015 18:53:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Adverse Event Reporting in Clinical Trials of Finasteride for Androgenic Alopecia

A Meta-analysis

<http://archderm.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?articleid=2212246>

Zitat:Results Of 34 clinical trials, none had adequate safety reporting, 19 were partially adequate, 12 were inadequate, and 3 reported no adverse events. Funnel plots were asymmetric with a bias toward lower odds ratio for sexual adverse effects, suggesting systematic underdetection. No reports assessed adequacy of blinding, 18 (53%) disclosed conflicts of interest, and 19 (56%) received funding from the manufacturer. Duration of drug safety evaluation was 1 year or less for 26 of 34 trials (76%). Of 5704 men in the clinical data repository who were treated for AGA with finasteride, 1.25 mg/d or less, for AGA, only 31% met inclusion criteria for the pivotal trials referenced in the manufacturer's full prescribing information and 33% took finasteride for more than 1 year.

Conclusions and Relevance Available toxicity information from clinical trials of finasteride in men with AGA is very limited, is of poor quality, and seems to be systematically biased. In a cohort of men prescribed finasteride for routine treatment of AGA, most would have been excluded from the pivotal studies that supported US Food and Drug Administration approval for AGA. Published reports of clinical trials provide insufficient information to establish the safety profile for finasteride in the treatment of AGA.

Metaanalyse vom 1. April, vorhin im englischen Wikipedia-Artikel zu Finasterid gefunden.

Meinungen?

---

---

Subject: Aw: Metaanalyse zur Qualität von 34 klinischen Studien (Finasterid)

Posted by [egal123](#) on Mon, 13 Apr 2015 19:17:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Pandemonium schrieb am Mon, 13 April 2015 20:53Adverse Event Reporting in Clinical Trials of

Finasteride for Androgenic Alopecia

A Meta-analysis

<http://archderm.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?articleid=2212246>

Zitat:Results Of 34 clinical trials, none had adequate safety reporting, 19 were partially adequate, 12 were inadequate, and 3 reported no adverse events. Funnel plots were asymmetric with a bias toward lower odds ratio for sexual adverse effects, suggesting systematic underdetection. No reports assessed adequacy of blinding, 18 (53%) disclosed conflicts of interest, and 19 (56%) received funding from the manufacturer. Duration of drug safety evaluation was 1 year or less for

26 of 34 trials (76%). Of 5704 men in the clinical data repository who were treated for AGA with finasteride, 1.25 mg/d or less, for AGA, only 31% met inclusion criteria for the pivotal trials referenced in the manufacturer's full prescribing information and 33% took finasteride for more than 1 year.

Conclusions and Relevance Available toxicity information from clinical trials of finasteride in men with AGA is very limited, is of poor quality, and seems to be systematically biased. In a cohort of men prescribed finasteride for routine treatment of AGA, most would have been excluded from the pivotal studies that supported US Food and Drug Administration approval for AGA. Published reports of clinical trials provide insufficient information to establish the safety profile for finasteride in the treatment of AGA.

Metaanalyse vom 1. April, vorhin im englischen Wikipedia-Artikel zu Finasterid gefunden.

Meinungen?

Grandioser Fund, tausend Dank dafür

Die Metaanalyse ist sehr kritisch. Finde ich gut...Da sieht man wenigstens mal gscheit wie bei Fin-Studien gefuscht wird. Scheinbar gibt es keine einzige richtig brauchbare Studie zu Fin u Nebenwirkungen. Da stellt sich die Frage, warum wohl? ... Im Grunde kann sich aber eh jeder selber zusammen reimen warum dies der Fall ist...

---

Subject: Aw: Metaanalyse zur Qualität von 34 klinischen Studien (Finasterid)

Posted by [the\\_steve](#) on Fri, 24 Apr 2015 01:17:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hab kurz durchgeschaut und mir ist aufgefallen, bei Camcho et al steht beim Alter 14 - 58. Ist das ein Tippfehler?

---

Subject: Aw: Metaanalyse zur Qualität von 34 klinischen Studien (Finasterid)

Posted by [pilos](#) on Fri, 24 Apr 2015 05:39:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

viele studien sind nur daseinsberechtigungs-studien oder ABM's für eine armee von forschern die kein mensch braucht...

---

Subject: Aw: Metaanalyse zur Qualität von 34 klinischen Studien (Finasterid)

Posted by [halbglatzeee](#) on Sat, 02 May 2015 16:51:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Ich könnte mir vorstellen, dass es zurückrudert, weil irgendwas jetzt schon anders lief, als er das erwartet hat..

---